TABLE OF CONTENTS

SESSION-1 The Constitutional Vision of Justice : Round Table Discussion		
1.	Justice V.R. Krishna Iyer, The Dialectics of Social Justice Versus the Realities of Forensic Praxis [Chapter 1], from Equal Justice & Forensic Process: Truth & Myth, Eastern Book Company, 2010	
2.	<i>M N Venkatachaliah</i> , Constitutional Ideals And Justice In Plural Societies Nias Foundation Day Lecture 2016	
3.	Justice A.K. Sikri, Rule Of Law: Protecting the Constitution and Democracy	
4.	Prof. (Dr.) N.R. Madhav Menon, Social Context Education for Social Justice Adjudication	
	"Important Precedents"	
1.	Indian Young Lawyers Association and others v/s. State of Kerala and others (2018 SCC OnLine SC 1690)	
2.	Joseph Shine v/s. Union of India (2018 SCC OnLine SC 1676)	
3.	Navtej Singh Johar & ors v/s. Union of India, through Secretary Ministry of Law & Justice & ors (2018) 10 SCC 1	
4.	Common Cause (A Regd. Society) v/s. Union of India and another (2018 Indlaw SC 178)	
5.	Justice K S Puttaswamy (Retd.) & Anr. V/s. Union of India & Ors. (Right to Privacy Judgement) (Supreme Court of India judgement Dated – 24th Aug. 2017)	
6.	Shayara Bano vs. Union of India & others (Triple Talaq Case), (2017) 9 SCC 1	
7.	Subramaniam Swamy vs. Union of India, (2016) 7 SCC 221	
8.	Nabam Rebia and Bamang felix vs. Deputy Speaker and other, (2016) 8 SCC 1	
9.	Supreme Court Advocates on Record Association vs. union of India, (2015 AIR SCW 5457)	
10.	National Legal Services Authority vs. Union of India and others,)AIR 2014 SC 1863)	
Session-2 Court Management		
1.	Good Practice Area: Case Management, Chapter 2 from book 'Good Practices for Courts' published by The World Bank in 2016.	
2.	Justice Roshan Dalvi, " The Business of Court Management " XVI (III) NYAYA DEEP 13-35 (July 2015)	

3.	Guiding Principles For Effective Case Management, Department of Justice Canada, Retrived from - <u>https://www.justice.gc.ca/eng/rp-pr/csj-sjc/esc-cde/pdf/eff.pdf</u>	1
4.	Justice M. B. Lokur "Case Management Through Court Annexed Mediation and Other Developments " (2013) Retrieved from: <u>www.lawasia.asn.au/judicial%20-%20lokur.pdf</u>	
	"Important Precedents"	
1.	Imtiyaz Ahmad v State Of Uttar Pradesh & Ors, (2012) 2 SCC 688	
2.	All India Judges Association and others v Union of India and others, Dated: 2 August 2018	
3.	Ramrameshwari Devi And Ors v Nirmala Devi And Ors., (2011) 8 SCC 249	
4.	Surjit Singh and others v Gurwant Kaur and others, (2015) 1 SCC 665	
5.	Hussain & Another vs. Union of India & Another(2017) 5 SCC 702	
6.	Imtiyaz Ahmed vs. State of Uttar Pradesh & ors.(2017) 5 SCC 702	
	Session-3	
	Information and Communication Technology in Courts	
	information and Communication Technology in Courts	
1.	Justice G. C. Bharuka, "Technology and Timely justice" Vol. XXXV No. 1 Common Clause 5- 12(January-March, 2016)	
1. 2.	Justice G. C. Bharuka, "Technology and Timely justice" Vol. XXXV No. 1 Common Clause 5-	
	Justice G. C. Bharuka, "Technology and Timely justice" Vol. XXXV No. 1 Common Clause 5- 12(January-March, 2016) Jane Donoghue, The Rise of Digital Justice: Courtroom Technology, Public Participation and	
2.	Justice G. C. Bharuka, "Technology and Timely justice" Vol. XXXV No. 1 Common Clause 5- 12(January-March, 2016) Jane Donoghue, The Rise of Digital Justice: Courtroom Technology, Public Participation and Access to Justice, The Modern Law Review Volume 80 November 2017 No. 6 Jerry Goldman, "Courts and information technology: A Predictable Uneasy Relationship"	
2. 3.	Justice G. C. Bharuka, "Technology and Timely justice" Vol. XXXV No. 1 Common Clause 5-12(January-March, 2016) Jane Donoghue, The Rise of Digital Justice: Courtroom Technology, Public Participation and Access to Justice, The Modern Law Review Volume 80 November 2017 No. 6 Jerry Goldman, "Courts and information technology: A Predictable Uneasy Relationship" 55(2) Loyola Law Review 235-248(2009) Atul Kaushik, "Bringing the 'E' to Judicial Efficiency: Implementing the e-Courts System in	
2. 3. 4.	Justice G. C. Bharuka, "Technology and Timely justice" Vol. XXXV No. 1 Common Clause 5-12(January-March, 2016) Jane Donoghue, The Rise of Digital Justice: Courtroom Technology, Public Participation and Access to Justice, The Modern Law Review Volume 80 November 2017 No. 6 Jerry Goldman, "Courts and information technology: A Predictable Uneasy Relationship" 55(2) Loyola Law Review 235-248(2009) Atul Kaushik, "Bringing the 'E' to Judicial Efficiency: Implementing the e-Courts System in India" State of the Indian Judiciary: A report by DAKSH, Section-1, 2016, 25-40 Brief on E- Courts Project, Retrived from – http://doj.gov.in/sites/default/files/Brief%20on%20eCourts%20Project%20%28Phase-	

Session-4 Theories of Judicial Review		
1.	William Michael Treanor, "Judicial Review before Marbury" 58(2) Stanford Law Review 455- 562 (2005)	
2.	Marbury vs. Madison, 5U.S. 137 (1803)	
3.	Paul Craig, " Ultra Vires and the Foundations of Judicial Review " 57(1) The Cambridge Law Journal 63-90 (1998)	
4.	<i>Lord Carnwath</i> , " From Rationality to Proportionality in the Modern Law " Lecture delivered at Hong Kong University in Joint UCL-HKU conference "Judicial Review in a Changing Society" held on 14/04/2014.	
5.	<i>John Chu</i> , "One Controversy, Two Jurisdictions: A Comparative Evaluation of the Ultra Vires and Common Law Theories of Judicial Review" 14(4) Judicial Review 347-358 (2009)	
	S. P. Sathe, "Judicial Review in India: Limits and Policy" 35(4) Ohio State Law Journal 870- 899 (1974)	
7.	<i>Christopher Wolfe, "Three Contemporary Theories of Judicial Review: A Critical Review"</i> 15(1) The Political Science Reviewer 215-260 (1985)	
8.	<i>David E. Landau</i> Substitute and Complement Theories of Judicial Review, Indiana Law Journal, Volume 92 Issue 4	
	"Important Precedents"	
1.	Prafulla Kumar v. Bank of Commerce, Khulna, AIR 1947 PC 60	
2.	Indira Nehru Gandhi vs. Raj Narain & Another, AIR 1975 SC 1590	
3.	L. Chandra Kumar v. Union of India, AIR 1997 SC 1125	
4.	I. R. Coelho (Dead) by LRs vs. State of Tamil Nadu & ors., (2007) 2 SCC 1	
5.	Supreme Court Advocates on Record Association vs. Union of India (NJAC Judgement), 2015 AIR SCW 5457	
SESSION-5 Separation of Powers		

1.			
	<i>Justice Ruma Pal,</i> " Separation of Powers ", Chapter 15 from The Oxford Handbook of The Indian Constitution (2016) Edited by, Sujit Chaudhary, Madhav Khosla and Pratap Bhanu Mehta.		
2.	<i>P. Parameshwar Rao</i> , "Separation Of Powers In A Democracy: The Indian Experience" , 37(1) Peace Research 113-122 (2005)		
3.	<i>Richard A. Posner</i> , "The Rise and Fall of Judicial Self-Restraint" , 100(3) California Law Review 519-556 (2012)		
4.	<i>Philip B. Kurland</i> , " The Rise and Fall of the ''Doctrine of Separation of Powers ", 85(3) Michigan Law Review 592-613 (1986)		
5.	Lord Neuberger, "Judges and Policy: A delicate Balance" Lecture Delivered at Institute for Government		
6.	State of Uttar Pradesh and others v Anil Kumar Sharma (2015) 6 SCC 716		
SESSION-6 Allocation of Legislative Powers : The Federal Architecture			
1.	Louise Tillin, " United in Diversity? Asymmetry in Indian Federalism " 37(1) Publius, 45-67 (2007)		
2.	Sunita Parikh and Barry R. Weingast, "A Comparative Theory of Federalism: India", 83(7) Virginia Law Review 1593-1615 (1997)		
3.	<i>Chanchal Kumar Sharma & Wilfried Swenden</i> , Continuity and change in contemporary Indian federalism , India Review, 16:1, 1-13, DOI: 10.1080/14736489.2017.1279921		
4.	<i>Benjamin N. Schoenfeld</i> , " Federalism In India ", 20(1) The Indian Journal of Political Science 52-62(1959)		
5.	<i>Alfred Stepan Juan J. Linz Yogendra Yadav</i> , The Rise of "State-Nations ", Journal of Democracy, Volume 21, Number 3, July 2010, pp. 50-68 (Article)		
	"Important Precedents"		
1.	Gujarat University v. Krishna Ranganath Mudholkar, AIR 1963 SC 703		
2.	State of West Bengal v. Union of India, AIR 1963 SC 1241		
3.	Union of India v. H.S. Dhillon, AIR 1972 SC 1061		
4.	State Of Karnataka vs. Union Of India & Another, AIR 1978 SC 68		
5.	Hoechst Pharmaceuticals Ltd. v. State of Bihar, AIR 1983 SC 1019		
6.	S. R. Bommai vs. Union of India, AIR 1994 SC 1918		

7.	Swaraj Abhiyan vs Union Of India, Supreme Court of India on 21 July, 2017	
	Session 7	
	Fundamental Rights and Restrictions on Entrenched Rights	
1.	Nancy Troutman, "The Magna Carta (The Great Charter) 1215", Retrieved from - http://www.constitution.org/eng/magnacar.pdf	
2.	<i>Lord Toulson</i> , "Fundamental rights and the Common Law", Keynote address given at The Fundamental rights Conference: A Public Law Perspective, LSE (10/10/2015)	
3.	<i>Robert A. Samek</i> , "Untrenching fundamental rights", 27(4) McGill Law Journal 755-787(1982)	
4.	Alan Gewirth, "There Are Absolute Rights?", 31(122) The Philosophical Quarterly 1-16 (1982)	
5.	<i>Rajbir Singh Dalal</i> , Fundamental Rights Enshrined In Indian Constitution Provisions and Practices, The Indian Journal of Political Science, Vol. 70, No. 3 (JULY - SEPT., 2009), pp.779-786	
6.	Amartya Sen, Human Rights and the Limits of Law, 27 Cardozo L. Rev. 2913 (2006)	
	"Important Precedents"	
1.	Ram Jawaya Kapur vs. State of Punjab, AIR 1955 SC 549	
2.	State of Bombay vs. R. M. D. Chamarbaugwala, AIR 1957 SC 699	
3.	State of Bombay vs. Kathi Kalu Oghad & Ors, AIR 1961 SC 1808	
4.	Guru Datta Sharma vs. State of Bihar, AIR 1961 SC 1684	
5.	Babulal Parate vs State of Maharashtra & Ors., AIR 1961 SC 884	
6.	Raghubar Dayal Jai Prakash vs. The Union Of India And Others, AIR 1962 SC 263	
7.	Kedar Nath Singh vs. State Of Bihar, AIR 1962 SC 955	
8.	Ranjit D. Udeshi vs. State of Maharashtra , AIR 1965 SC 881	
9.	E. M. Sankaran Namboodiripad vs. T. Narayanan Nambiar, AIR 1970 SC 2015	
10.	Vrajlal Manilal & Co. & Ors vs. State Of Madhya Pradesh. & Ors, AIR 1970 SC 129	
11.	C. K. Daphtary & Ors vs. O. P. Gupta & Ors, AIR 1971 SC 1132	
12.	Maneka Gandhi vs. Union of India, AIR 1978 SC 597	
13.	Bijoe Emmanuel & Ors vs. State Of Kerala & Ors, AIR 1987 SC 748	
14.	L N Mishra Institute of Economic Development and Social Change, Patna vs.State of Bihar and ors., AIR 1988 SC 1136	
15.	Ajay Canu vs. Union of India & ors, AIR 1988 SC 2027	
16.	Unni Krishnan, J.P. and ors. Vs. State of Andhra Pradesh and ors., AIR 1993 SC 2178	

17.	People's Union of Civil Liberties vs. Union of India and Anr., AIR 1997 SC 568	
18.	Moulana Mufti Syed Md. Noorur vs. State Of West Bengal And Ors, AIR 1999 Cal 15	
Session 8 Theory of Basic Structure : Contours		
1.	Andreas Buss, "Dual Legal Systems and the Basic Structure Doctrine of Constitutions: The Case of India", 19(2) Canadian Journal of Law and Society 23-50 (2004)	
2.	P. P. Rao, "Basic Features of the Constitution" (2000) 2 SCC J-1	
3.	<i>Virendra Kumar</i> , "Basic Structure of Indian Constitution: Doctrine of Constitutionally Controlled Governance [From Kesavananda Bharati to I. R. Coelho]" , 49 (3) Journal of The Indian Law Institute 365-398 (2007)	
4.	Prof. P. K. Tripathi, "Kesavananda Bharati vs. State of Kerala: Who Wins" (1974) 1 SCC J-3	
"Important Precedents"		
1.	Kesavananda Bharati vs. State of Kerala, AIR 1973 SC 1461	
2.	Indira Nehru Gandhi vs. Raj Narain & Another, AIR 1975 SC 1590	
3.	Minerva Mills Ltd. & Ors. vs. Union Of India & Ors, AIR 1980 SC 1789	
4.	M. Nagaraj and Others vs. Union Of India & Others, AIR 2007 SC 71	
5.	I.R. Coelho (Dead) By Lrs vs. State Of Tamil Nadu & Ors, AIR2007 SC 861	
6.	Manoj Narula v Union of India, (2014) 9 SCC 1	
7.	K. T. Plantation Private Limited v State of Karnataka, (2011) 9 SCC 1	
8.	A. K. Behra and another v Union Of India, (2010) 11 SCC 322	
9.	Glanrock Estate (Private) Limited v State of Tamil Nadu, (2010) 10 SCC 96	
10.	Union of India v R. Gandhi, President, Madras Bar Association, (2010) 11 SCC 1	
	Session 9	
	Art of Hearing	
1.	Jonathan R. Cohen, "Open minded Listening" Charlotte Law Review, 5, 2014, 139-164	
2.	Lord Neuberger, where angels fear to tread, Holdsworth club 2012 presidential address, 2 march 2012	
3.	Narendra Dutt, Transformation From An Advocate To A Judge	